

Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee

Date of Report: October 21, 2004

Water Body Under Evaluation:

Identification #: 1711
Name: River des Peres (P)
Length of Segment: 1 mile
County (ies): St. Louis City

Use Attainability Analysis Study:

Identification #: 0494
Submitter: Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District -MEC Water Resources, Inc.
Date Received: July 14, 2005
Use Evaluated: Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBCR)

UAA Completeness Check:

All Required Information Included?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Correct Forms Used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Forms Signed and Dated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:		

UAA Criteria Evaluated:

- ☒ 1-Natural Pollutant Sources.
- ☒ 2-Natural, Ephemeral, Intermittent or Low-Flow Condition.
- ☒ 3-Non-Remedial, Human Caused Condition.
- ☒ 4-Hydrologic Modifications.
- ☐ 5-Natural Physical Features.
- ☒ 6-Substantial, Widespread Social and Economic Impact.


Use Determination & Recommendation:

Has the use existed since November 28, 1975? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown

Comments: Several interviews were conducted indicating that WBCR was once an active use during periods of moderate to high flow conditions, and children still wade and play in the river.

Is the evaluated use attainable? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments: The UAA was conducted in October, 2004. The overall depth of the river was shallow, with 0 of the 7 sites evaluated meeting the maximum depth or average depth criterion. However, it was indicated in the interviews that WBCR use once took place. No WBCR uses were observed within the evaluated segment. Hydrologic modifications (Criterion #4), including channelization and lining the channel with concrete, create long, straight reaches designed to move water quickly. The hydrologic modifications greatly dissuade swimming for safety reasons. The UAA provides documentation that bacterial concentrations are high even though there are no permitted continuous discharges in the watershed. Although the



Missouri
Department of
Natural Resources


Water Protection & Soil Conservation Division
Water Protection Program
Use Attainability Analysis
Toll Free (800) 361-4827

Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee

Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) has contracted the United States Geologic Survey to conduct a bacterial source tracking study, at present there is no evidence separating natural from anthropogenic sources, so the use of removal Criteria #1 and #3 are not conclusive. MSD plans to evaluate economic impact for different Combined Sewer Overflow control options that may result in information applicable to the Economic Impact criterion (#6). Based on the need for more information to document two of the cited removal criteria, the committee found the information provided inconclusive.

Committee Recommendation: ☐ Retain Use ☐ Modify Use ☐ Remove Use ☒ Inconclusive

Committee Members: Stacia Bax, Ann Crawford, Donna Menown



**Missouri
Department of
Natural Resources**

Water Protection & Soil Conservation Division
Water Protection Program
Use Attainability Analysis
Toll Free (800) 361-4827